

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,114	12/29/2003	Carlos F. Vonderwalde	3141.002B	1742	
23-95 7590 07/09/2008 HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI PC 5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			TYSON, MELANIE RUANO		
ALBANY, NY	7 12203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3773		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2008	EL ECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/748,114 VONDERWALDE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Melanie Tyson 3773 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 March 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 66-70.75 and 76 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 66-70,75 and 76 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/748,114

Art Unit: 3773

#### DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment received on 10 March 2008.

Corrections made have been accepted. It is noted that the prior art applied below was cited in the office action dated 19 September 2007 as considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 66-70, 75, and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolting (6,099,559) in view of King et al. (5,192,311). Nolting discloses a stent assembly (see entire document) comprising an expandable tubular stent body (12), a tubular stent cover (22), and cover connectors (18 and 19) disposed at both ends of the stent body bent in an undulate fashion that includes a maxima of an undulation. Nolting disclose the cover connectors are joined to the stent body by fusion.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,114

Art Unit: 3773

welding, soldering, or any other suitable manner, thus fails to disclose the connectors are integrally formed with the stent body and folded over the first and second ends of the cover.

King discloses a prosthesis (see entire document) comprising a tubular body (mesh material 61) and a cover (22). King teaches that securing the tubular body and cover together comprises folding the ends of the tubular body over the ends of the cover. It is well within the general knowledge of one having ordinary skill in the art to apply a known technique to a known device ready for improvement to yield predictable results. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to secure Nolting's stent body and cover by integrally forming the connectors with the stent body and folding the connectors over the cover ends as taught by King.

Regarding claim 68, Nolting fails to disclose the stent cover is on the inner surface of the stent body. However, as the applicant failed to provide any criticality for this limitation, one skilled in the art would have found that providing the cover on the inside or outside surface of the stent body to be obvious alternative equivalents as the location of the cover would not affect the performance of the stent assembly.

Regarding claims 75 and 76, Nolting fails to disclose the cover is a rolled up sheet that has edges that substantially abut or overlap. However, as the applicant failed to provide any criticality for these limitations, one skilled in the art would have found that a tube and a rolled up sheet having abutting or overlapping edges to be obvious

Art Unit: 3773

alternative equivalents as the form of the cover would not affect the performance of the stent assembly.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Tyson whose telephone number is (571)272-9062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:30-7 (max flex).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,114

Page 5

Art Unit: 3773

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773